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Why Calibration?

- Synthesis radio telescopes, though well-designed, are not perfect (e.g., surface accuracy, receiver noise, polarization purity, gain stability, geometric model errors, etc.)
- Need to accommodate deliberate engineering (e.g., frequency down-conversion, analog/digital electronics, filter bandpass, etc.)
- Hardware or control software occasionally fails or behaves unpredictably
- Scheduling/observation errors sometimes occur (e.g., wrong source positions)
- Atmospheric conditions not ideal
- Radio Frequency Interference (RFI)

Determining instrumental and environmental properties (calibration) is a prerequisite to determining radio source properties.
From Idealistic to Realistic

• Formally, we wish to use our interferometer to obtain the visibility function:

\[
V(u, v) = \int_{\text{sky}} I(l, m) e^{-i 2\pi (ul + vm)} dldm
\]

• …a Fourier transform which we intend to invert to obtain an image of the sky:

\[
I(l, m) = \int_{uv} V(u, v) e^{i 2\pi (ul + vm)} dudv
\]

– \(V(u, v)\) describes the amplitude and phase of 2D sinusoids that add up to an image of the sky
  • Amplitude: “~how concentrated?”
  • Phase: “~where?”
  • c.f. Young’s Double-Slit Interference Experiment (1804)

• How do we measure \(V(u, v)\)?
How do we measure $V(u,v)$?

- Consider direction-dependent arrival geometry for E-field disturbance reception at two points, $i$ and $j$, relative to the phase center direction.

\[
d = (w_\lambda + u_\lambda \tan \theta) \cos \theta - w_\lambda
\]
\[
= u_\lambda \sin \theta + w_\lambda (\cos \theta - 1)
\]
\[
d(l) = u_\lambda l + w_\lambda \left( \sqrt{1 - l^2} - 1 \right) \quad (1D)
\]
\[
\left( \sin \theta = l; \quad \cos \theta = \sqrt{1 - l^2} \right)
\]
\[
d(l,m) = u_\lambda l + v_\lambda m + w_\lambda \left( \sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2} - 1 \right) \quad (2D)
\]
\[
\approx u_\lambda l + v_\lambda m \quad (l,m \ll 1)
\]

Direction-dependent signals: \( s_j = s_i e^{i 2 \pi d(l,m)} \)
How do we measure $V(u,v)$?

- Correlate the E-field disturbances, $x_i$ & $x_j$ arriving at spatially separate sensors
  - delay-aligned for the phase-center
  - $s_i$ & $s_j$ are the direction-dependent E-field disturbances
- Direction integral and product can be reversed, because the E-field disturbances from different directions don’t correlate
- $s_i$ and $s_j$ (for a specific direction) differ only by a phase factor given by the arrival geometry
- $\langle |s_j|^2 \rangle$ is proportional to the brightness distribution, $I(l,m)$
But in reality…

- Weather
- Realistic Antennas
- Electronics…
- Digital correlation
- …and the whole is moving!

Drew Medlin
Realistic Visibility

- So, in practice, we obtain an imperfect visibility measurement:

\[ V_{ij}^{obs} (u, v) = \left\langle x_i(t) \cdot x_j^*(t) \right\rangle_{\Delta t} = J_{ij} V_{ij}^{true} (u, v) \]

- \(x_i\) & \(x_j\) are mutually delay-compensated for the phase center
- Averaging duration is set by the expected timescales for variation of the correlation result (~seconds)
- \(J_{ij}\) is a generalized operator characterizing the net effect of the observing process for antennas \(i\) and \(j\) on baseline \(ij\), which we must calibrate
  - Includes any required scaling to physical units
- Sometimes \(J_{ij}\) corrupts the measurement irrevocably, resulting in data that must be edited or “flagged”
Realistic Visibility: Noise

- Normalized visibility: \( \sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Delta \nu \Delta t}} \)
  - Extra 2 (cf single-dish) comes from formation from separate telescopes

- Absolute visibility:
  \[ \sigma_{ij} = \frac{\sqrt{T_i T_j}}{\sqrt{2\Delta \nu \Delta t}} \]
  - \( T_i, T_j \) are the system temperatures (total sampled powers), in whatever units the corresponding data are in
  - (The numerator, as measured by the correlator, is the factor by which visibilities are typically normalized, e.g. ALMA)

- Formal Visibility Weights:
  \[ w_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{ij}^2} \]
Practical Calibration Considerations

• A priori “calibrations” (provided by the observatory)
  – Antenna positions, earth orientation and rate, clock(s), frequency reference
  – Antenna pointing/focus, voltage pattern, gain curve
  – Calibrator coordinates, flux densities, polarization properties

• Absolute engineering calibration (dBm, K, volts)?
  – Amplitude: episodic (ALMA) or continuous (EVLA/VLBA) $T_{sys}$ or switched-power monitoring to enable calibration to nominal K (or Jy, with antenna efficiency information)
  – Phase: WVR (ALMA), otherwise practically impossible (relative antenna phase)
  – Traditionally, we concentrate instead on ensuring instrumental stability on adequate timescales

• Cross-calibration a better choice
  – Observe strong astronomical sources near science target against which calibration ($j_{ij}$) can be solved, and transfer solutions to target observations
  – Choose appropriate calibrators; usually point sources because we can easily predict their visibilities (Amp ~ constant, phase ~ 0)
  – Choose appropriate timescales for calibration
“Absolute” Astronomical Calibrations

• Flux Density Calibration
  – Radio astronomy flux density scale set according to several “constant” radio sources, and planets/moons
  – Use resolved models where appropriate

• Astrometry
  – Most calibrators come from astrometric catalogs; sky coordinate accuracy of target images tied to that of the calibrators
  – Beware of resolved and evolving structures, and phase transfer biases due to troposphere (especially for VLBI)

• Polarization
  – Usual flux density calibrators also have significant stable linear polarization position angle for registration
  – Calibrator circular polarization usually assumed zero (?)

• Relative calibration solutions (and dynamic range) insensitive to errors in these “scaling” parameters
Baseline-based Cross-Calibration

\[ V_{ij}^{obs} = J_{ij} V_{ij}^{mod} \]

- Simplest, most-obvious calibration approach: measure complex response of each baseline on a standard source, and scale science target visibilities accordingly
  - “Baseline-based” Calibration:
- Only option for single baseline “arrays”
- Calibration precision same as calibrator visibility sensitivity (on timescale of calibration solution). Improves only with calibrator strength.
- Calibration accuracy sensitive to departures of calibrator from assumed structure
  - Un-modeled calibrator structure transferred (in inverse) to science target!
Antenna-based Cross Calibration

• Measured visibilities are formed from a product of *antenna-based* signals. Can we take advantage of this fact?
Antenna-based Cross Calibration

• The net time-dependent E-field signal sampled by antenna \( i \), \( x_i(t) \), is a combination of the desired signal, \( s_i(t,l,m) \), corrupted by a factor \( J_i(t,l,m) \) and integrated over the sky \((l,m)\), and diluted by noise, \( n_i(t) \):

\[
x_i(t) = \int_{sky} J_i(t,l,m)s_i(t,l,m)dldm + n_i(t)
\]

\[
= s'_i(t) + n_i(t)
\]

• \( x_i(t) \) is sampled (complex) voltage provided to the correlator input
• \( J_i(t,l,m) \) is the product of a series of effects encountered by the incoming signal
• \( J_i(t,l,m) \) is an antenna-based complex number
• Usually, \(|n_i|^2 >> |s'_i|^2\) (i.e., noise dominates)
Correlation of Realistic Signals - I

- The correlation of two realistic (aligned for a specific direction) signals from different antennas:
  \[
  \langle x_i \cdot x_j^* \rangle_{\Delta t} = \left\langle \left( s_i' + n_i \right) \cdot \left( s_j' + n_j \right)^* \right\rangle_{\Delta t}
  = \left\langle s_i' \cdot s_j'^* \right\rangle_{\Delta t} + \left\langle s_i' \cdot n_j^* \right\rangle_{\Delta t} + \left\langle n_i \cdot s_j'^* \right\rangle_{\Delta t} + \left\langle n_i \cdot n_j^* \right\rangle_{\Delta t}
  = \left\langle s_i' \cdot s_j'^* \right\rangle_{\Delta t}
  \]

- Noise correlations have zero mean—even if \(|n_i|^2 >> |s_i|^2\), the correlation process isolates desired signals:

- Same analysis as before, except we carry \( J_i J_j \) terms

\[
\begin{align*}
= & \left\langle \int J_i s_i \, dl_i \, dm_i \cdot \int J_j^* s_j^* \, dl_j \, dm_j \right\rangle_{\Delta t} \\
= & \left\langle \int J_i J_j^* s_i s_j^* \, dl \, dm \right\rangle_{\Delta t} \\
= & \int J_i J_j^* I(l, m) e^{-i2\pi(u_l+v_m)} \, dl \, dm
\end{align*}
\]
The Scalar Measurement Equation

\[ V_{ij}^{obs} = \int_{\text{sky}} J_i J_j^* I(l,m) e^{-i2\pi(u_{ij}l+v_{ij}m)} \, dl \, dm \]

- First, isolate non-direction-dependent effects, and factor them from the integral:

\[ = (J_i \text{vis} J_j^{\text{vis}*}) \int_{\text{sky}} (J_i^{\text{sky}} J_j^{\text{sky}*}) I(l,m) e^{-i2\pi(u_{ij}l+v_{ij}m)} \, dl \, dm \]

- Next, we recognize that over small fields of view, it is possible to assume \( J_{\text{sky}} = 1.0 \), and we have a relationship between ideal and observed Visibilities:

\[ = J_i J_j^* \int_{\text{sky}} I(l,m) e^{-i2\pi(u_{ij}l+v_{ij}m)} \, dl \, dm \]

\[ V_{ij}^{obs} = J_i J_j^* V_{ij}^{\text{true}} \]

- Standard calibration of most existing arrays reduces to solving this last equation for the \( J_i \), assuming a visibility model \( V_{ij}^{\text{mod}} \) for a calibrator

- NB: visibilities corrupted by difference of antenna-based phases, and product of antenna-based amplitudes
Aside: Auto-correlations and Single Dishes

- The auto-correlation of a signal from a single antenna:

\[
\langle x_i \cdot x_i^* \rangle_{\Delta t} = \left\langle (s_i' + n_i) \cdot (s_i' + n_i)^* \right\rangle_{\Delta t}
\]

\[
= \left\langle s_i' \cdot s_i'^* \right\rangle + \left\langle n_i \cdot n_i^* \right\rangle
\]

\[
= \left\langle \int_{\text{sky}} |J_i|^2 |s_i|^2 dldm \right\rangle_{\Delta t} + \left\langle |n_i|^2 \right\rangle
\]

\[
= \int_{\text{sky}} |J_i|^2 I(l,m)dldm + \left\langle |n_i|^2 \right\rangle
\]

- This is an integrated (sky) power measurement plus non-zero-mean noise, i.e., the \( T_{\text{sys}} \)

- Desired signal not simply isolated from noise

- Noise usually dominates

- Single dish radio astronomy calibration strategies rely on switching (differencing) schemes to isolate desired signal from the noise
Solving for the \( J_i \)

• We can write:
\[
V_{ij}^{\text{obs}} - J_i J_j^* V_{ij}^{\text{mod}} = 0
\]

• …and define chi-squared:
\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{i,j} \frac{w_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}^2} \left( V_{ij}^{\text{obs}} - J_i J_j^* V_{ij}^{\text{mod}} \right)^2
\]

• …and minimize chi-squared w.r.t. each \( J_i^* \), yielding (iteration):
\[
J_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \left( V_{ij}^{\text{obs}} J_j V_{ij}^{\text{mod}} w_{ij} \right) \left/ \sum_{j \neq i} \left( |J_j|^2 V_{ij}^{\text{mod}} w_{ij} \right) \right.
\left( \frac{\partial \chi^2}{\partial J_i^*} = 0 \right)
\]

• (…which we may be gratified to recognize as a peculiarly weighted average of the implicit \( J_i \) contribution to \( V^{\text{obs}} \).)
Solving for $J_i$ (cont)

- **Formal errors:**

$$\sigma_{J_i} = \sqrt{\sum_{j \neq i} \left| V_{ij}^{mod} \right|^2 \left| J_j \right|^2 / \sigma_{ij,\Delta t}^2}$$

- For a ~uniform array (~same sensitivity on all baselines, ~same calibration magnitude on all antennas) and point-like calibrator:

$$\sigma_{J_i} \approx \frac{\sigma_{ij,\Delta t}}{\left| V^{mod} \right| \sqrt{\left\langle \left| J_j \right|^2 \right\rangle} (N_{ant} - 1)}$$

- Calibration error decreases with increasing calibrator strength and square-root of $N_{ant}$ (c.f. baseline-based calibration).

- Other properties of the antenna-based solution:
  - Minimal degrees of freedom ($N_{ant}$ factors, $N_{ant}(N_{ant}-1)/2$ measurements)
  - Net calibration for a baseline involves a phase difference, so *absolute* directional information is lost
  - Closure…
Antenna-based Calibration and Closure

• Success of synthesis telescopes relies on antenna-based calibration
  – Fundamentally, any information that can be factored into antenna-based terms, could be antenna-based effects, and not source visibility
  – For $N_{ant} > 3$, source visibility information cannot be entirely obliterated by any antenna-based calibration

• Observables independent of antenna-based calibration:
  – Closure phase (3 baselines):
    \[
    \phi_{ij}^{obs} + \phi_{jk}^{obs} + \phi_{ki}^{obs} = (\phi_{ij}^{true} + \theta_i - \theta_j) + (\phi_{jk}^{true} + \theta_j - \theta_k) + (\phi_{ki}^{true} + \theta_k - \theta_i)
    \]
    \[
    = \phi_{ij}^{true} + \phi_{jk}^{true} + \phi_{ki}^{true}
    \]
  – Closure amplitude (4 baselines):
    \[
    \begin{vmatrix}
    V_{ij}^{obs} & V_{kl}^{obs} \\
    V_{ik}^{obs} & V_{jl}^{obs}
    \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix}
    J_i J_j V_{ij}^{true} & J_k J_l V_{kl}^{true} \\
    J_i J_k V_{ik}^{true} & J_j J_l V_{jl}^{true}
    \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix}
    V_{ij}^{true} & V_{kl}^{true} \\
    V_{ik}^{true} & V_{jl}^{true}
    \end{vmatrix}
    \]

• Baseline-based calibration formally violates closure!
Reference Antenna

• Since the “antenna-based” phase solution is derived from antenna phase differences, we do not measure phase absolutely
  – relative astrometry
• Phase solutions typically referred to a specific antenna, the refant, which is assumed to have constant phase (zero, in both polarizations)
  – refant typically near array center
  – The refant’s phase variation distributed to all other antennas’ solutions
  – For adequate time sampling, ensures reliable interpolation of phase, without ambiguity (c.f. arbitrary phase offsets between solutions)
  – Asserts stable cross-hand phase frame (which must be calibrated)
• Problems:
  – A single good refant not always available over whole observation, due to flagging, etc.
  – Cross-hand phase at refant may not, in fact, be stable…
Corrected Visibility

- Visibility...

\[ V_{ij}^{\text{obs}} = J_i J_j^* V_{ij}^{\text{true}} \quad \rightarrow \quad V_{ij}^{\text{cor}} = J_i^{-1} J_j^{-*1} V_{ij}^{\text{obs}} \]

- ...and weights!

\[ w_{ij}^{\text{cor}} = w_{ij}^{\text{obs}} \left| J_i \right|^2 \left| J_j \right|^2 = \frac{\left| J_i \right|^2 \left| J_j \right|^2}{\sigma_{ij}^2} \]

- (calibrate the sigmas)
What Is Delivered by a Synthesis Array?

• An enormous list of complex visibilities! *(Enormous!)*
  – At each timestamp (~1-10s intervals): \( N(N-1)/2 \) baselines
    • EVLA: 351 baselines
    • VLBA: 45 baselines
    • ALMA: 1225-2016 baselines
  – For each baseline: up to 64 Spectral Windows (“spws”, “subbands” or “IFs”)
  – For each spectral window: tens to thousands of channels
  – For each channel: 1, 2, or 4 complex correlations (polarizations)
    • EVLA or VLBA: RR or LL or (RR,LL), or (RR,RL,LR,LL)
    • ALMA: XX or YY or (XX,YY) or (XX,XY,YX,YY)
  – With each correlation, a weight value and a flag (T/F)
  – Meta-info: Coordinates, antenna, field, frequency label info

• \( N_{\text{total}} = N_t \times N_{\text{bl}} \times N_{\text{spw}} \times N_{\text{chan}} \times N_{\text{corr}} \) visibilities
  – \( \sim \)few \( 10^6 \times N_{\text{spw}} \times N_{\text{chan}} \times N_{\text{corr}} \) vis/hour \( \rightarrow \) 10s to 100s of GB per observation
A Typical Dataset (Polarimetry)

- **Array:**
  - EVLA D-configuration (Apr 2010)

- **Sources:**
  - Science Target: 3C391 (7 mosaic pointings)
  - Near-target calibrator: J1822-0938 (~11 deg from target)
  - Flux Density calibrator: 3C286
  - Instrumental Polarization Calibrator: 3c84

- **Signals:**
  - RR,RL,LR,LL correlations
  - One spectral window centered at 4600 MHz, 128 MHz bandwidth, 64 channels
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Single Baseline, Single Scan (time-averaged) Visibility Spectra (4 correlations)

baseline ea17-ea21
Data Examination and Editing

• After observation, initial data examination and editing very important
  – Will observations meet goals for calibration and science requirements?
• What to edit (much of this is now automated):
  – Some real-time flagging occurred during observation (antennas off-source, LO out-of-lock, etc.). Any such bad data left over? (check operator’s logs)
  – Any persistently ‘dead’ antennas (check operator’s logs)
  – Periods of especially poor weather? (check operator’s log)
  – Any antennas shadowing others? Edit such data.
  – Amplitude and phase should be continuously varying—edit outliers
  – Radio Frequency Interference (RFI)?
• Caution:
  – Be careful editing noise-dominated data.
  – Be conservative: those antennas/timeranges which are obviously bad on calibrators are probably (less obviously) bad on weak target sources—edit them
  – Distinguish between bad (hopeless) data and poorly-calibrated data. E.g., some antennas may have significantly different amplitude response which may not be fatal—it may only need to be calibrated
  – Choose (phase) reference antenna wisely (ever-present, stable response)
• Increasing data volumes increasingly demand automated editing algorithms…
Editing Example

Amp vs. Time

Spw: 0 Ch: <22~41> Corr: RR

3C84 (Instr. poln)

3C286 (flux density)

J1822-0938 (cal) alt. with 3C391 Mosaic Pointings
Editing Example

Amp vs. Time

Scans 34-41
Scan transitions/setup
Dead antenna  Slew
Editing Example

Amp vs. Time

Scans 34-41

Flagged

Amp

Time (from 2010/04/24)
Editing Example (before)
Editing Example (after)
Simple Scalar Calibration Example

• Array:
  – EVLA D-configuration (Apr 2010)

• Sources:
  – Science Target: 3C391 (7 mosaic pointings)
  – Near-target calibrator: J1822-0938 (~11 deg from target; unknown flux density, assumed 1 Jy)
  – Flux Density calibrator: 3C286 (7.747 Jy, essentially unresolved)

• Signals:
  – RR correlation only for this illustration (total intensity only)
  – One spectral window centered at 4600 MHz, 128 MHz bandwidth
  – 64 observed spectral channels averaged with normalized bandpass calibration applied (this illustration considers only the time-dependent ‘gain’ calibration)
  – (extracted from a continuum polarimetry mosaic observation)
Views of the Uncalibrated Data
Views of the Uncalibrated Data
Views of the Uncalibrated Data
Uncalibrated Images

J1822-0938  
(calibrator)

3C391  
(science)
Rationale for Antenna-based Calibration

Phase vs. Time

Time (from 2010/04/24)
The Calibration Process

• Solve for antenna-based gain factors for each scan on all calibrators ($V_{mod}^S$ for f.d. calibrator; $V_{mod}^d=1.0$ for others):

$$V_{ij}^{obs} = G_i G_j^* V_{ij}^{mod}$$

• Bootstrap flux density scale by enforcing gain consistency over all calibrators:

$$\left\langle G_i / G_i (f d \text{ cal}) \right\rangle_{time, \text{ antennas}} = 1.0$$

• Correct data (interpolate, as needed):

$$V_{ij}^{cor} = G_i^{-1} G_j^{*-1} V_{ij}^{obs}$$
The Antenna-based Calibration Solution

- Reference antenna: ea21  (phase = 0)
The Antenna-based Calibration Solution

![Diagram showing time series data with labels ea17, ea12, and ea21 (refant).]
The Antenna-based Calibration Solution

- 3C286’s gains have correct scale
- Thus, J1822-0938 is 2.32 Jy (not 1.0 Jy, as assumed)
Effect of Antenna-based Calibration: Phase (before)
Effect of Antenna-based Calibration Phase (after)
Effect of Antenna-based Calibration
Effect of Antenna-based Calibration

CALIBRATED

Amp vs. Time

Phase vs. Time
Effect of Antenna-based Calibration

![Amp vs. UVDist graphs for 3C286, J1822-0938, and 3C391 (central field)]
Effect of Antenna-based Calibration
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Effect of Antenna-based Calibration
Effect of Antenna-based Calibration

Phase vs. UVDist

3C286

Phase vs. UVDist

J1822-0938

Phase vs. UVDist

3C301 (central field)

CALIBRATED
Calibration Effect on Imaging

J1822-0938
(calibrator)

3C391
(science)
Calibration Effect on Imaging

J1822-0938
(calibrator)

3C391
(science)
Calibration Effect on Imaging

J1822-0938
(calibrator)

3C391
(science)
Calibration Effect on Imaging

- J1822-0938 (calibrator)
- 3C391 (science)

Dave Wilner’s lecture “Imaging and Deconvolution” (this afternoon!)
Evaluating Calibration Performance

• Are solutions continuous?
  – Noise-like solutions are just that—noise (beware: calibration of pure noise generates a spurious point source)
  – Discontinuities indicate instrumental glitches (interpolate with care)
  – Any additional editing required?

• Are calibrator data fully described by antenna-based effects?
  – Phase and amplitude closure errors are the baseline-based residuals
  – Are calibrators sufficiently point-like? If not, self-calibrate: model calibrator visibilities (by imaging, deconvolving and transforming) and resolve for calibration; iterate to isolate source structure from calibration components
    • Crystal Brogan’s lecture: “Advanced Calibration” (Thursday)

• Any evidence of unsampled variation? Is interpolation of solutions appropriate?
  – Reduce calibration timescale, if SNR permits

• Greg Taylor’s lecture: “Error Recognition” (Monday)
Summary of Scalar Example

- Dominant calibration effects are *antenna-based*
  - Minimizes degrees of freedom
  - More precise
  - Preserves closure
  - Permits higher dynamic range safely!

- Point-like calibrators effective
- Flux density bootstrapping
Generalizations

• Full-polarization Matrix Formalism
• Calibration Effects Factorization
• Calibration Heuristics and ‘Bootstrapping’
Full-Polarization Formalism (Matrices!)

- Need dual-polarization basis \((p,q)\) to fully sample the incoming EM wave front, where \(p,q = R,L\) (circular basis) or \(p,q = X,Y\) (linear basis):

\[
\vec{I}_{\text{circ}} = \vec{S}_{\text{circ}} \vec{I}_{\text{Stokes}}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
RR \\
RL \\
LR \\
LL
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & i & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -i & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
I \\
Q \\
U \\
V
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
I + V \\
Q + iU \\
Q - iU \\
I - V
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\vec{I}_{\text{lin}} = \vec{S}_{\text{lin}} \vec{I}_{\text{Stokes}}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
XX \\
XY \\
YX \\
YY
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & i \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -i \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
I \\
Q \\
U \\
V
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
I + Q \\
U + iV \\
U - iV \\
I - Q
\end{pmatrix}
\]

- Devices can be built to sample these circular \((R,L)\) or linear \((X,Y)\) basis states in the signal domain (Stokes Vector is defined in “power” domain)

- Some components of \(J_i\) involve mixing of basis states, so dual-polarization matrix description desirable or even required for proper calibration
Full-Polarization Formalism: Signal Domain

• Substitute:

\[ s_i \rightarrow \vec{s}_i = \begin{pmatrix} s'^p \\ s'^q \end{pmatrix}_i, \quad J_i \rightarrow \vec{J}_i = \begin{pmatrix} J^{p\rightarrow p} & J^{q\rightarrow p} \\ J^{p\rightarrow q} & J^{q\rightarrow q} \end{pmatrix}_i \]

• The Jones matrix thus corrupts the vector wavefront signal as follows:

\[
\vec{s}'_i = \vec{J}_i \vec{s}_i
\]
\[
\begin{pmatrix} s'^p \\ s'^q \end{pmatrix}_i = \begin{pmatrix} J^{p\rightarrow p} & J^{q\rightarrow p} \\ J^{p\rightarrow q} & J^{q\rightarrow q} \end{pmatrix}_i \begin{pmatrix} s^p \\ s^q \end{pmatrix}_i
\]
\[
= \begin{pmatrix} J^{p\rightarrow p} s^p + J^{q\rightarrow p} s^q \\ J^{p\rightarrow q} s^p + J^{q\rightarrow q} s^q \end{pmatrix}_i
\]
Full-Polarization Formalism: Correlation - I

- Four correlations are possible from two polarizations. The *coherency matrix* represents correlation in the matrix formalism:

\[ \vec{V}_{ij}^{\text{true}} = \left\langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j^* \rightangle = \left\langle \left( \begin{array}{cc} S_i^p & S_i^q \\ S_j^p & S_j^q \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{cc} S_j^p & S_j^q \\ S_i^p & S_i^q \end{array} \right)^* \right\rangle = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \left\langle S_i^p \cdot S_j^p \right\rangle & \left\langle S_i^p \cdot S_j^q \right\rangle \\ \left\langle S_i^q \cdot S_j^p \right\rangle & \left\langle S_i^q \cdot S_j^q \right\rangle \end{array} \right) \]

- Observed visibilities:

\[ \vec{V}_{ij}^{\text{obs}} = \left\langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j^* \right\rangle = \left\langle \left( \vec{J}_i \vec{S}_i \right) \cdot \left( \vec{J}_j^* \vec{S}_j^* \right)^* \right\rangle = \vec{J}_i \left\langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j^* \right\rangle \vec{J}_j^* = \vec{J}_i \vec{V}_{ij}^{\text{true}} \vec{J}_j^* \]
Full-Polarization Formalism: Correlation - II

- And finally, for fun, expand the correlation of corrupted signals:

\[
\tilde{V}_{ij}^{\text{obs}} = J_i \langle \tilde{S}_i \cdot \tilde{S}_j^* \rangle J_j^*
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
J_i^{p \rightarrow p} J_j^{* \rightarrow p} \langle S_i^p \cdot S_j^* \rangle + J_i^{p \rightarrow p} J_j^{q \rightarrow p} \langle S_i^p \cdot S_j^q \rangle + J_i^{p \rightarrow p} J_j^{* \rightarrow q} \langle S_i^p \cdot S_j^* \rangle + J_i^{p \rightarrow p} J_j^{q \rightarrow q} \langle S_i^p \cdot S_j^q \rangle \\
J_i^{q \rightarrow p} J_j^{* \rightarrow p} \langle S_i^q \cdot S_j^* \rangle + J_i^{q \rightarrow p} J_j^{q \rightarrow p} \langle S_i^q \cdot S_j^q \rangle + J_i^{q \rightarrow p} J_j^{* \rightarrow q} \langle S_i^q \cdot S_j^* \rangle + J_i^{q \rightarrow p} J_j^{q \rightarrow q} \langle S_i^q \cdot S_j^q \rangle \\
J_i^{p \rightarrow q} J_j^{* \rightarrow p} \langle S_i^p \cdot S_j^* \rangle + J_i^{p \rightarrow q} J_j^{q \rightarrow p} \langle S_i^p \cdot S_j^q \rangle + J_i^{p \rightarrow q} J_j^{* \rightarrow q} \langle S_i^p \cdot S_j^* \rangle + J_i^{p \rightarrow q} J_j^{q \rightarrow q} \langle S_i^p \cdot S_j^q \rangle \\
J_i^{q \rightarrow q} J_j^{* \rightarrow p} \langle S_i^q \cdot S_j^* \rangle + J_i^{q \rightarrow q} J_j^{q \rightarrow p} \langle S_i^q \cdot S_j^q \rangle + J_i^{q \rightarrow q} J_j^{* \rightarrow q} \langle S_i^q \cdot S_j^* \rangle + J_i^{q \rightarrow q} J_j^{q \rightarrow q} \langle S_i^q \cdot S_j^q \rangle
\end{pmatrix}

- UGLY, but we rarely, if ever, need to worry about algebraic detail at this level—just let this occur “inside” the matrix formalism, and work (think) with the matrix short-hand notation
- Synthesis instrument design driven by minimizing off-diagonal terms in \( J_i \)
The Matrix Measurement Equation

• We can now write down the Measurement Equation in matrix notation:

\[ \vec{V}_{ij}^{obs} = \int_{\text{sky}} \left( \vec{J}_i \vec{I}_c (l,m) \vec{J}_j^* \right) e^{-i2\pi(u_{ij}l+v_{ij}m)} \, dl \, dm \]

• \( I_c (l,m) \) is the 2x2 matrix of Stokes parameter combinations corresponding to the coherency matrix of correlations (basis-dependent)

• …and consider how the \( J_i \) are products of many effects.
A Dictionary of Calibration Components

- $J_i$ contains many components, in principle:
  - $F =$ ionospheric effects
  - $T =$ tropospheric effects
  - $P =$ parallactic angle
  - $X =$ linear polarization position angle
  - $E =$ antenna voltage pattern
  - $D =$ polarization leakage
  - $G =$ electronic gain
  - $B =$ bandpass response
  - $K =$ geometric compensation
  - $M, A =$ baseline-based corrections

- Order of terms follows signal path (right to left)
- Each term has matrix form of $J_i$ with terms embodying its particular algebra (on- vs. off-diagonal terms, etc.)
- Direction-dependent terms must stay inside FT integral
- ‘Full’ calibration is traditionally a bootstrapping process wherein relevant terms (usually a minority of above list) are considered in decreasing order of dominance, relying on approximate separability

\[ \vec{J}_i = \vec{K}_i \vec{B}_i \vec{G}_i \vec{D}_i \vec{E}_i \vec{X}_i \vec{P} \vec{T}_i \vec{F}_i \]
Ionospheric Effects, $F$

$$ F^{RL} = e^{i \Delta \phi} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i \varepsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i \varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}; \quad F^{XY} = e^{i \Delta \phi} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varepsilon & \sin \varepsilon \\ -\sin \varepsilon & \cos \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} $$

- The ionosphere introduces a dispersive path-length offset:
  - More important at lower frequencies ($<5$ GHz)
  - Varies more at solar maximum and at sunrise/sunset, when ionosphere is most active and variable
  - Direction-dependent within wide field-of-view

- The ionosphere is *birefringent*: Faraday rotation:
  - as high as 20 rad/m$^2$ during periods of high solar activity will rotate linear polarization position angle by $\varepsilon = 50$ degrees at 1.4 GHz
  - Varies over the array, and with time as line-of-sight magnetic field and electron density vary, violating the usual assumption of stability in position angle calibration

- Book: Chapter 5, sect. 4.3,4.4,9.3; Chapter 6, sect. 6; Chapter 29, sect.3
  - Michiel Brentjens lecture: “Polarization in Interferometry” (next!)
  - Tracy Clark’s lecture: “Low Frequency Interferometry” (Monday)
Tropospheric Effects, $T$

$$\tilde{T} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} = t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- The troposphere causes polarization-independent amplitude and phase effects due to emission/opacity and refraction, respectively
  - Up to 2.3m excess path length at zenith compared to vacuum
  - Higher noise contribution, less signal transmission: Lower SNR
  - Most important at $\nu > 20$ GHz where water vapor and oxygen absorb/emit
  - Zenith-angle-dependent (more troposphere path nearer horizon)
  - Clouds, weather = variability in phase and opacity; may vary across array
  - Water vapor radiometry (estimate phase from power measurements)
  - Phase transfer from low to high frequencies (delay calibration)

- Book: Chapter 5: sect. 4.3, 4.4; Chapter 28, sect. 3
- ALMA!
  - Crystal Brogan’s lecture: “Advanced Calibration Techniques” (Thursday)
Parallactic Angle, $P$

\[
\vec{P}_{RL} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\chi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\chi} \end{pmatrix}; \quad \vec{P}_{XY} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \chi & \sin \chi \\ -\sin \chi & \cos \chi \end{pmatrix}
\]

- Changing orientation of sky in telescope’s field of view
  - Constant for equatorial telescopes
  - Varies for alt-az-mounted telescopes:

\[
\chi(t) = \arctan \left( \frac{\cos l \sin h(t)}{\sin l \cos \delta - \cos l \sin \delta \cos h(t)} \right)
\]

$l = \text{latitude}, \ h(t) = \text{hour angle}, \ \delta = \text{declination}$

- Rotates the position angle of linearly polarized radiation
- Analytically known, and its variation provides leverage for determining polarization-dependent effects

- Book: Chapter 6, sect. 2.1
- Michiel Brentjens’ lecture: “Polarization in Interferometry” (next!)
Linear Polarization Position Angle, $X$

$\hat{X}^{RL} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\Delta\chi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\Delta\chi} \end{pmatrix}$; $\hat{X}^{XY} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Delta\chi & \sin \Delta\chi \\ -\sin \Delta\chi & \cos \Delta\chi \end{pmatrix}$

- Configuration of optics and electronics (and refant) causes a net linear polarization position angle offset
- Can be treated as an offset to the parallactic angle, $P$
- Calibrated by registration with a strongly polarized source with known polarization position angle (e.g., flux density calibrators)
- For circular feeds, this is a phase difference between the R and L polarizations, which is frequency-dependent (a R-L phase bandpass)
- For linear feeds, this is the orientation of the dipoles in the frame of the telescope

- Michiel Brentjens’ lecture: “Polarization in Interferometry” (next!)
Antenna Voltage Pattern, $E$

\[
\tilde{E}^{pq} = \begin{pmatrix}
E^p(l,m) & 0 \\
0 & E^q(l,m)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

- Antennas of all designs have direction-dependent gain within field-of-view
  - Important when region of interest on sky comparable to or larger than $\lambda/D$
  - Important at lower frequencies where radio source surface density is greater and wide-field imaging techniques required
- Beam squint: $E^p$ and $E^q$ offset, yielding spurious polarization
- Sky rotates within field-of-view for alt-az antennas, so off-axis sources move through the pattern
- Direction dependence of polarization leakage ($D$) may be included in $E$ (off-diagonal terms then non-zero)

- Shape and efficiency of the voltage pattern may change with zenith angle: ‘gain curve’

- Book: Chapters 19, 20
  - Steve Myers’ lecture: “Wide Field Imaging I” (Thursday)
  - Brian Mason’s lecture: “Wide Field Imaging II” (Monday)
  - Urvashi Rao Venkata’s lecture: “Wide Bandwidth Imaging” (Monday)
Polarization Leakage, $D$

- Antenna & polarizer are not ideal, so orthogonal polarizations not perfectly isolated
  - Well-designed feeds have $d \sim$ a few percent or less
  - A geometric property of the optics design, so frequency-dependent
  - For $R,L$ systems, total-intensity imaging affected as $\sim dQ, dU$, so only important at high dynamic range ($Q,U,d$ each $\sim$ few %, typically)
  - For $R,L$ systems, linear polarization imaging affected as $\sim dl$, so almost always important
  - For small arrays (no differential parallactic angle coverage), only relative $D$ solution is possible from standard linearized solution, so parallel-hands cannot be corrected absolutely (closure errors)

- Best calibrator: Strong, point-like, observed over large range of parallactic angle (to separate source polarization from $D$)

- Book: Chapter 6

- Michiel Brentjens’ lecture: “Polarization in Interferometry” (next!)

\[
\tilde{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d^p \\ d^q & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
“Electronic” Gain, $G$

\[ \hat{G}_{pq} = \begin{pmatrix} g^p & 0 \\ 0 & g^q \end{pmatrix} \]

- Catch-all for most amplitude and phase effects introduced by antenna electronics and other generic effects
  - Most commonly treated calibration component
  - Dominates other effects for most standard observations
  - Includes scaling from engineering (correlation coefficient) to radio astronomy units (Jy), by scaling solution amplitudes according to observations of a flux density calibrator
  - Includes any internal system monitoring, like EVLA switched power calibration
  - Often also includes tropospheric and (on-axis) ionospheric effects which are typically difficult to separate uniquely from the electronic response
  - Excludes frequency dependent effects (see B)
- Best calibrator: strong, point-like, near science target; observed often enough to track expected variations
  - Also observe a flux density standard
- Book: Chapter 5
Bandpass Response, $B$

$$\vec{B}^{pq} = \begin{pmatrix} b^p(\nu) & 0 \\ 0 & b^q(\nu) \end{pmatrix}$$

- $G$-like component describing frequency-dependence of antenna electronics, etc.
  - Filters used to select frequency passband not square
  - Optical and electronic reflections introduce ripples across band
  - Often assumed time-independent, but not necessarily so
  - Typically (but not necessarily) normalized
  - ALMA $T_{sys}$ is a “bandpass”

- Best calibrator: strong, point-like; observed long enough to get sufficient per-channel SNR, and often enough to track variations

- Book: Chapter 12, sect. 2
- Mark Lacy’s lecture: “Spectral Line Data Analysis” (today!)
Geometric Compensation, $K$

- Must get geometry right for Synthesis Fourier Transform relation to work in real time
  - Antenna positions (geodesy)
  - Source directions (time-dependent in topocenter!) (astrometry)
  - Clocks
  - Electronic path-lengths introduce delays (polarization, spw differences)
  - Longer baselines generally have larger relative geometry errors, especially if clocks are independent (VLBI)
  - Importance scales with frequency
- $K$ is a clock- & geometry-parameterized version of $G$ (see chapter 5, section 2.1, equation 5-3 & chapters 22, 23)
  - All-sky observations used to isolate geometry parameters

- Book: Chapter 5, sect. 2.1; Chapters 22, 23
  - Adam Deller’s lecture: “Very Long Baseline Interferometry” (Thursday)
Non-closing Effects: $M, A$

- Baseline-based errors which do not decompose into antenna-based components
  - Digital correlators designed to limit such effects to well-understood and *uniform* (not dependent on baseline) scaling laws (absorbed in $f.d.$ calibration)
  - Simple noise (additive)
  - Additional errors can result from averaging in time and frequency over variation in antenna-based effects and visibilities (practical instruments are finite!)
  - Instrumental polarization effects in parallel hands
  - Correlated “noise” (e.g., RFI)
  - Difficult to distinguish from source structure (visibility) effects
  - Geodesy and astrometry observers consider determination of radio source structure—a baseline-based effect—as a required *calibration* if antenna positions are to be determined accurately
  - Separate factors for each element of the coherency matrix; $M$ multiplies, $A$ adds
Solving the Measurement Equation

• Formally, solving for any antenna-based visibility calibration component is always the same general non-linear fitting problem:

\[ \mathbf{V}_{ij}^{\text{corrected\cdot obs}} = \mathbf{J}_i \mathbf{V}_{ij}^{\text{corrupted\cdot mod}} \mathbf{J}_j^* \]

– Observed and Model visibilities are corrected/corrupted by available prior calibration solutions
– Resulting solution used as prior in subsequent solves, as necessary
– Each solution is relative to priors and assumed source model
– Iterate sequences, as needed \(\rightarrow\) generalized self-calibration

• Viability and accuracy of the overall calibration depends on isolation of different effects using proper calibration observations, and appropriate solving strategies
Measurement Equation Heuristics

• When considering which effects are relevant to a particular observation, and how to sequence calibration determination, it is convenient to express the Measurement Equation in a “Heuristic Operator” notation:

\[ V_{obs} = M B G D E X P T F V_{true} + A \]

• Rigorous notation, antenna-basedness, etc., suppressed
• Usually, only a subset of terms are considered, though highest-dynamic range observations may require more
• An expression of a “Calibration Model”
  – Order is important (handled in software)
  – Solve for terms in decreasing order of dominance, iterate to isolate
  – NB: Non-trivial direction-dependent solutions involve convolutional treatment of the visibilities, and is coupled to the imaging and deconvolution process---see advanced imaging lectures….)
Decoupling Calibration Effects

• Multiplicative gain (G) term will soak up many different effects; known priors should be compensated for explicitly, especially when direction-dependent differences (e.g., between calibrator and target) will limit the accuracy of calibration transfer:
  – Zenith angle-dependent atmospheric opacity, phase (T,F)
  – Zenith angle-dependent gain curve (E)
  – Antenna position errors (K)

• Early calibration solves (e.g., G) are always subject to more subtle, uncorrected effects
  – E.g., instrumental polarization (D), which introduces gain calibration errors and causes apparent closure errors in parallel-hand correlations
  – When possible, iterate and alternate solves to decouple effects…
Calibration Heuristics – Spectral Line

Total Intensity Spectral Line (B=bandpass, G=gain):

\[ V_{\text{obs}} = B \ G \ V_{\text{true}} \]

1. Preliminary Gain solve on B-calibrator:

\[ V_{\text{obs}} = G_B \ V_{\text{mod}} \]

2. Bandpass Solve (using \( G_B \)) on B-calibrator (then discard \( G_B \)):

\[ V_{\text{obs}} = B (G_B \ V_{\text{mod}}) \]

3. Gain solve (using inverse of \( B \)) on all calibrators:

\( (B' \ V_{\text{obs}}) = G \ V_{\text{mod}} \)

4. Flux Density scaling:

\( G \rightarrow G_f \) (enforce gain consistency)

5. Correct with inverted (primes) solutions:

\[ V_{\text{cor}} = G_f' B' \ V_{\text{obs}} \]

6. Image!
Calibration Heuristics – Polarimetry

Polarimetry (B=bandpass, G=gain, D=instr. poln, X=pos. ang., P=parallactic ang.):

\[ V_{\text{obs}} = B \cdot G \cdot D \cdot X \cdot P \cdot V_{\text{true}} \]

1. Preliminary Gain solve on B-calibrator:
   \[ V_{\text{obs}} = G_B \cdot V_{\text{mod}} \]

2. Bandpass (B) Solve (using \( G_B \)) on B-calibrator (then discard \( G_B \)):
   \[ V_{\text{obs}} = B \cdot (G_B \cdot V_{\text{mod}}) \]

3. Gain (G) solve (using parallactic angle \( P \), inverse of \( B \)) on calibrators:
   \[ (B' \cdot V_{\text{obs}}) = G \cdot (PV_{\text{mod}}) \]

4. Instrumental Polarization (D) solve (using \( P \), inverse of \( G,B \)) on instrumental polarization calibrator:
   \[ (G'B' \cdot V_{\text{obs}}) = D \cdot (P \cdot V_{\text{mod}}) \]
Calibration Heuristics – Polarimetry

5. Polarization position angle solve (using $P$, inverse of $D, G, B$) on position angle calibrator:

$$(D' G' B' V^{obs}) = \mathbf{X} (P V^{mod})$$

6. Flux Density scaling:

$$G \rightarrow G_f \quad \text{(enforce gain consistency)}$$

7. Correct with inverted solutions:

$$V^{cor} = P' X' D' G'_f B' V^{obs}$$

8. Image!

- To use external priors, e.g., $T$ (opacity), $K$ (ant. position errors), $E$ (gaincurve), revise step 3 above as:

$$\quad (B' K' V^{obs}) = G (E P T V^{mod})$$

- and carry $T, K$, and $E$ forward along with $G$ to subsequent steps
New Calibration Challenges (EVLA, ALMA)

- ‘Delay-aware’ gain (self-) calibration
  - Troposphere and Ionosphere introduce time-variable phase effects which are easily parameterized in frequency and should be (c.f. merely sampling the calibration in frequency)

- Frequency-dependent Instrumental Polarization
  - Contribution of geometric optics is wavelength-dependent (standing waves)

- Voltage pattern
  - Frequency-dependence voltage pattern
  - Wide-field accuracy (sidelobes, rotation)
  - Instrumental polarization (incl. frequency-dependence)

- WVR
- RFI mitigation
- Pipeline Heuristics
- Generalized refant algorithms

→ Increased sensitivity: Can implied dynamic range be reached by calibration and imaging techniques?
Summary

• Determining calibration is as important as determining source structure—can’t have one without the other
• Data examination and editing an important part of calibration
• Calibration dominated by antenna-based effects
  – permits efficient, accurate and defensible separation of calibration from astronomical information (satisfies closure)
• Full calibration formalism algebra-rich, but is modular
• Calibration an iterative process, improving various components in turn, as needed
• Point sources are the best calibrators
• Observe calibrators according requirements of calibration components