Science and Specs David DeBoer University of California

Specifications in a research environment

- A quick case study
- Conclude

Outline

The Spec "Speedbump"

- Engineers: "I can't design/build anything until I
- number. How much does better cost?)

have the specs" (They are too fuzzy to even start).

 Scientist: "I can't provide the specs until I've done the research" (I don't want to commit to an actual

The Spec "Speedbump OF ALL Engineers: "I can't design/build anything until I have the specs" (They are too fuzzy to even start). Scientist: "I can't provide the specs until I've done

the research" (I don't want to commit to an actual number. How much does better cost?)

...should be more of a rumble-strip Wake you up and stop a wreck

Back-and-forth on-going clalogue

Science Requirements

- Prioritization Experiment vs Facility
- Budget Parameterized models
 - Trade-off studies

Engineering specifications



Science Requirements

Prio Experime B Paramete

Engineering specifications

$$\xi = \frac{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[\sqrt{G(\theta, \phi = 0)} - \sqrt{G(\theta, \phi = 90)} \right]^2 \sin \theta d\theta}{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[\sqrt{G(\theta, \phi = 0)} + \sqrt{G(\theta, \phi = 90)} \right]^2 \sin \theta d\theta}$$

- Prioritization
- Experiment vs Facility
 - Budget
- Parameterized models
 - Trade-off studies



Case Study: Delay spec for HERA

measure the EOR power spectru

structure/cables.

HERA uses the delay-spectrum approach to Care about sky signals rattling around in the



19

m9



- 60 dB strength of foregrounds
- 60 ns 0.03 h/Mpc
- VSWR<1.001 f<17 MHz
- HERA Memo 5 http://reionization.org

60 dB @ 60 nS









$$|\Sigma_n|^2 = P_n(\theta, \phi) \left[|\eta_f|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\Gamma_f}{\eta_f} (S_{11} - \Gamma_f) \right) + \left(\frac{|\Gamma_f|^2}{|\eta_f|^2} |S_{11} - \Gamma_f|^2 \right) \right]$$













(a) 150 MHz subband ($z \approx 8.47$)



Random Thoughts

- Acknowledge the need for actual specs
- Don't self-limit (at least to start)
- Don't let "perfect" lead to "nothing"
- Write it down and be able to find it again (and look at it again)
- Build/measure properly scoped prototypes
- Be ready to be disappointed at least a little bit

Know how it affects full design (or have a way to find out, even approximately)

Probably need the cranky engineer AND the unreasonable astronomer to succeed