



## 21cm Cosmology After HERA





### Why 21cm Cosmology

- Line Emission
  - Optically thin
  - Observable even into Dark Ages
  - Directly probes redshift evolution
- Sensitive to
  - Ly-α: Wouthuysen Field Effect
  - X-ray/DM: Heating
  - UV: Ionization
- Integrated measure of galactic properties
  - includes low-mass tails
  - bubble size breaks some degeneracies
- Complementary to
  - CMB
  - optical probes of galaxies
  - other potential lines (e.g. CO, C+)
- C





- Mode
  - 0<sup>th</sup>: all-sky, frequency spectrum
  - N<sup>th</sup> : fluctuations, 2D / 3D power spectrum
- Challenge: calibration
  - advantage to optimized, purpose-built instruments



#### EDGES

#### Bowman et al.

#### Sokolowski et al

### BIGHORNS

Patra et al.

SARAS



Hexagons<sup>¶</sup> 127 ants. Ø120m 169 ants. Ø140m 217 ants. Ø160m **271 ants. Ø180m**<sup>¶</sup> external cal: 4 LWA1 bms. plus LEDA outriggers

<sup>¶</sup> Assumes 2λ spacing at 60 MHz (z=22.7)

NRAO/Soc - Greenhill 2016 Feb. 17

## The 21cm Power Spectrum





## HERA is moving forward!

#### Funded to 2019:

Construct 240-350 HERA elements in a compact core
Measure the 21cm power spectrum with HERA-37, HERA-127, and HERA-240
Constrain key reionization parameters

Official SKA precursor

**Table 1**. Predicted SNRs of 21 cm experiments for an EoR model with 50% ionization at z = 9.5, with 1080 hours observation, integrated over a  $\Delta z$  of 0.8<sup>\*</sup>.

	Collecting	Foreground	Foreground
Instrument	Area $(m^2)$	Avoidance	Modeling
PAPER	1,188	$0.77\sigma$	$3.04\sigma$
MWA	$3,\!584$	$0.31\sigma$	$1.63\sigma$
LOFAR NL Core	35,762	$0.38\sigma$	$5.36\sigma$
<b>HERA-350</b>	53,878	${f 23.34}\sigma$	$90.97\sigma$
SKA1 Low Core	$416,\!595$	$13.4\sigma$	$109.90\sigma$

\*Calculations done via 21 cmSense (www.github.com/jpober/ 21cmSense; Pober et al. 2013b, 2014a). Foreground avoidance represents an analysis comparable to Ali et al. (2015), whereas foreground modeling allows significantly more k modes of the cosmological signal to be recovered.







Robertson et al. 2015



HERA will provide the first astrophysical constraints on Cosmic Dawn, and will have some sensitivity to cosmology



Cosmo params fixed Cosmo params varied AL & Parsons (2016)



## What Next?

- Enable cross-correlation science
  - Image domain, or at least de-wedging our data
  - Matching observing parameters
- Dark Ages Science (higher z, 30-100 MHz)
  LEDA, DARE, HERA
- 21cm Monopole
  - EDGES, LEDA, SciHi, SARAS, Hyperion, et al.
- Define Relationship to SKA-Low
  - What US funding streams are available
  - What is the supported community

#### Science Goals for Next Decade

- Power Spectrum
  - Redshift (6 < z < 30)
  - Sensitivity
  - Size Scale
- "Imaging"
  - cross-correlations (CO, C+, EE, Ly-α, WFIRST)
- Astrophysics & Cosmology
  - feedback models
  - baryonic winds
  - WDM damping at high k
  - sum of neutrino masses
  - CMB optical depth
  - context for high-z galaxy surveys

# Science Take-home messages

- Rich astrophysical and cosmological information available beyond HERA by pushing redshift, sensitivity, and scale frontiers.
- 21cm surveys are much better suited for probing radial fluctuations than angular fluctuations.
- Sensitivity rather than cosmic variance will be the limiting factor; compact arrays are still advantageous.
- Astrophysics can act as an amplifier for cosmology.
- Simultaneously pushing the redshift, sensitivity, and scale frontiers is challenging, but with potentially great science rewards.

#### The (21cm) Frequency Axis





# The split core also increases the frequency sampling at fixed (u,v).



#### Notes

- Cosmic variance not an issue
- Angular modes are not going to be very useful
- Array redundancy is important
- Design parameters: element size, antenna sep, packing, split cores, outriggers, hierarchy
- 1e-5 calibration of bandpass and beam cal are critical issues for all experiments
- Need better sky models, characterization of low-frequency polarization

#### Strawman Proposal

- HERA-III (2010 whitepaper), \$65M in hardware
  - 40,000 dipoles, 3.5m diameter, 0.5 km^2 collecting area
  - FFT correlation
  - 15 < z < 5
  - designed for overlapping uv coverage vs frequency
- Dark Ages Telescope
  - 30 < z < 15
- Monopole experiments (ground + space)
  - 100 < z < 5

### Hydrogen Signal from EoR and Before

A Role for Space?



#### Very long term, we must beat the ionosphere.

Unless the universe *really* surprises us, expect the most exciting planets to emit below the ionospheric cutoff.



Zarka (2007)



yellow=infrastructure, magenta=reflector, cyan=feed, red=signal chain, green=correlator, blue=data storage, white=computing.

# 2020 Budget (to OoM)

Assume: 40,000 elements, 3.5m diameter, 0.5 km^2 collecting area

- FFT Correlation
- Improved Signal Chain (integrated post-amp, digitizer, CAT5 out?)
- 2 Moore's Law capability doublings in ~6 years

	Now	2022 (2 Moore's Laws)
Infrastructure	\$100	\$100
Reflector	\$150	\$150
Feed	\$400	\$400
Signal Chain	\$1600	\$500*
Correlator	\$1600 (\$98,000 if FX)	\$400
Data	\$100	\$25
Computing	\$100	\$25
TOTAL	\$4,050	\$1,600

#### Total cost divided by # of antennas

#### Overall: ~\$64M in hardware, ~\$180M total project budget

#### Questions

US-led "21cm+" facility vs. SKA-Low

- Experiment vs. Observatory
- 2010 Decadal's "HERA-III" at MREFC level (~\$150M)
- auxiliary science: FRB, planetary B fields, ionosphere, ...?
- supported community:
- low-frequency observing vs. SKA observing
- high-z astro, cosmology, galaxy evolution

Split EoR/Dark Ages arrays, or can they be the same?

• do instruments benefit from being co-located? (e.g. SKA)

How to match other high-z probes

• sky coverage, angular scale, sensitivity

Funding as omnibus package, or just a scientific framework? What is the right size?